TARLAC STATE UNIVERSITY

# OFFICE OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT & MANAGEMENT

 Semester / Term Academic Year:

|  |
| --- |
| **SUMMARY OF RATINGS** |
| RATER | Mean | Total Mean | Weight | Weighted Mean |
| PART I | PART II |
| Supervisor Rater |  |  |  | 60% |  |
| Client Rater (s) |  |  |  | 40% |  |
| Total Weighted Mean |  |
| **FINAL NUMERICAL PERFORMANCE RATING** |  |
| **EQUIVALENT ADJECTIVAL RATING** |  |
| We Discuss and Agree on the Above Ratings: |
|  |  |  |  |
| **Ratee** |  | **Rater** | **Confirmed by Next Higher Supervisor** | **Date** |

PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL INSTRUMENT FOR FACULTY

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name:**  |  |
| **Educ’l. Qualification:**   |  |
| **Major:**  | **Minor:** |
| **College / Office / Unit:**  |  |
| **Position:**  |  |
| **Academic Rank:**  |  |
| **Employment Status:**  |  |
| **Teaching Assignment:**  |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **RATING GUIDE** |
| 4.51 |  | 5.00 |  | Outstanding (**O**) |
| 3.51 |  | 4.50 |  | Very Satisfactory (**VS**) |
| 2.51 |  | 3.50 |  | Satisfactory (**S**) |
| 1.51 |  | 2.50 |  | Unsatisfactory (**US**) |
| 1.50 |  | below |  | Poor (**P**) |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Form No.: TSU-PRM-SF-19 | Revision No.: 00 | Effectivity Date: October 10, 2023 | Page 1 of 1 |

TARLAC STATE UNIVERSITY

# OFFICE OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT & MANAGEMENT

**PERF FORM 1: SUPERVISOR**

 Semester/Term Academic Year

**PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL INSTRUMENT FOR FACULTY**

Name: Educ’l. Qualification: Major: Minor: College/Office/Unit: Position: Academic Rank: Employment Status: Teaching Assignment:

***Instructions:***

1. This form is used for evaluating the performance of your subordinate in this rating period. Please use pen or ball pen when accomplishing this form.
2. Please observe fairness and objectivity when rating your subordinate.
3. In rating the performance, fill the box/encircle the number that most objectively represents the level of performance guided by the definitions of rating under each factor.
4. After accomplishing this form, please affix your signature.

**PART I. PERFORMANCE 70%**

**Direction:** Fill the box with the appropriate rating. Use the following scale.

5 – Outstanding (always shown the characteristics)

4 – Very Satisfactory (frequently shown the characteristics) 3 – Satisfactory (occasionally shown the characteristics)

2 – Unsatisfactory (seldom shown the characteristics**)** 1 – Poor (never shown the characteristics)

1. **INSTRUCTION 53%**
	1. **Commitment**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1.1 | Starts and dismisses class on time. |
| 1.2 | Shares expertise willingly and enthusiastically. |
| 1.3 | Makes self-available to students even beyond official teaching hours. |
| 1.4 | Shows concern and sensitivity to students. |
| 1.5 | Recognizes and respects student’s strengths and weaknesses. |

* 1. **Knowledge of Subject Matter**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 2.1 | Demonstrates mastery of the subject taught. |
| 2.2 | Explains the subject matter without completely relying on the prescribed textbooks/other references. |
| 2.3 | Integrates current and relevant information developments to supplement instruction. |
| 2.4 | Shows confidence in the delivery of lecturers and conduct of discussions. |
| 2.5 | Conveys ideas on the lesson through agood command of the language of instruction. |

* 1. **Teaching for Independent Learning**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 3.1 | Provides appropriate worksheets, exercises, activities or handouts to students. |
| 3.2 | Promotes self-reliance through independent studies. |
| 3.3 | Allows students to make their own decisions and be accountable for their performance. |
| 3.4 | Encourages students to ask questions raise problems and present solutions. |
| 3.5 | Motivates students to do their best. |

* 1. **Management of Learning**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 4.1 | Organizes teaching-learning activities and prescribes reasonable requirements. |
| 4.2 | Practices classroom management and discipline. |
| 4.3 | Utilizes instructional aids and materials to make teaching-learning relevant and meaningful. |
| 4.4 | Uses varied teaching methods and strategies. |
| 4.5 | Evaluates students’ performance and informs them of the outcomes. |

**Total Score: Mean: Weight: 53% Weighted Mean:**

## RE​SEARCH 7%

**Direction:** Encircle the number that represents the appropriate rating.

**1. Output**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 5 | Has completed an approved researchsubmitted to the University Research Office. |
| 4 | Has an undergoing approved research by the URO / or a research submitted tothe college level. |
| 3 | Has an undergoing research at theCollege Level. |
|  |  |
| 2 | Is in the process of conceptualizing aresearch. |
|  |  |
| 1 | Has potential to do research but has notpresented anything. |

**2. Quality**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 5 | Has finished and complete research that substantially satisfied all criteria (novelty, relevance, responsiveness, objectivity, organization) of a good research. |
| 4 | Has finished a research but missed acriterion of a good research. |
|  |  |
| 3 | Has finished a research but missed 2to 3 criteria of a good research. |
|  |  |
| 2 | Has finished a research but missed thecriteria of a good research. |
|  |  |
| 1 | Has not finished a research. |

**Total Score: Mean: Weight: 7% Weighted Mean:**

## EX​TENSION 7%

* 1. **Ou​tcomes**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 5 | Has accomplished an extensionproject recognized by the college and the university. |
| 4 | Has accomplished an extension program/ project at the College level for consideration at the university level. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 3 | Has an on-going extension program/project in the college. |
|  |  |
| 2 | Has a proposed extensionprogram/project. |
|  |  |
| 1 | Has a potential for an extension program/project but has not presented a proposal. |

* 1. **Pa​rticipation**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 5 | Is among the major proponents of anextension program/project. |
|  |  |
| 4 | Is a participant to the major proponent/sof an extension program/project. |
|  |  |
| 3 | Is among the major proponents to aproposed extension program/project. |
|  |  |
| 2 | Is a participant to the major proponent ofa proposed extension program/project. |
|  |  |
| 1 | Has potential to conduct an extensionprogram/project. |

**Total Score: Mean: Weight: 7% Weighted Mean:**

## PR​ODUCTION 3%

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 5 | Has instructional materials recognized by the University or available at the Business Center for sale or distribution. (textbook / manual / worksheets / CDs, etc.) |
| 4 | Has instructional materials used in thecollege level. |
|  |  |
| 3 | Has on-going project of producing instructional material. |
|  |  |
| 2 | Has an instructional material at theconceptualization stage |
|  |  |
| 1 | Has a potential in the production of instructional material. |

**Total Score: Mean: Weight: 3% Weighted Mean:**

**PART I Total Weighted Mean (TWM):**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
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TARLAC STATE UNIVERSITY

# OFFICE OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT & MANAGEMENT

**PART II – CRITICAL FACTORS (30%)**

**Direction:** This form seeks to evaluate the personal and professional characteristics of the faculty. Please fill the box that most objectively represents the level of performance guided by the definitions of rating under each factor.

5 – Outstanding (always shown the characteristics)

4 – Very Satisfactory (frequently shown the characteristics) 3 – Satisfactory (occasionally shown the characteristics)

2 – Unsatisfactory (seldom shown the characteristics**)** 1 – Poor (never shown the characteristics)

## A. PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL CHARACTERISTICS (20%)

|  |
| --- |
| **Courtesy and Human Relations** – polite, kind and thoughtful behavior toward others in manner of speech and actuation. Integrates concern for people at work, office, client and supervisor- subordinate relationship into work situations. |
| **Leadership** – the manner of guiding, influencing, motivating and developing confidence of others to work as a team and accomplish assigned task, leading the organizational unit to achieve its goals and objectives enthusiastically. |
| **Stress Tolerance** – stability of performance under pressure or opposition. Consistently confident and positive even during stressful conditions at work. |
| **Honesty and Integrity** – shows uprightness, fairness, frankness, and sincerity in his intentions, works, and actions. |
| **Initiative/Resourcefulness** – starts action, projects, and performs assigned tasks without being told and under minimal supervision. |
| **Attire/Grooming** – presents a neat and presentable appearance, wears proper uniform/attire and ID. |
| **Physical and Mental Health** – possesses high intellectual capacity and physically fit for work,and emotional adjusted. |

**Total Score: Mean: Weighted Score:**

## B. PUNCTUALITY AND ATTENDANCE (10%)

**Direction:** Encircle the number that represents the appropriate rating.

**1. Punctuality (5%)**

**Total Score: Mean: Weighted Score:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 3 | 7-10 times tardy / undertime |
| 2 | 11-15 times tardy / undertime |
|  |  |
| 1 | More than 15 times tardy / undertime |

**2. Attendance (5%)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 5 | Not more than 4 days absent; Absences applied for in accordance with regulations. |
| 4 | 5-8 days absent |
| 3 | 9-12 days absent |
| 2 | 13-16 days absent |
|  |  |
| 1 | More than 16 days absent |

**Total Score: Mean: Weighted Score:**

**PART II Total Weighted Score (TWS): (A + B)**

**COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

|  |
| --- |
| **NAME OF RATER** |
| **POSITION** |
| **OFFICE/DIVISION** |
| **ADDRESS** |

**SUMMARY:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **AREA** | **Weighted****Average Score** | **Weight** | **Overall****Weight** |
| **PART I. PERFORMANCE (70%)** |
| **1. Instruction** |  | 53% |  |
| **2. Research** |  | 7% |  |
| **3. Extension** |  | 7% |  |
| **4. Production** |  | 3% |  |
| **Total Weighted Score Part I:** |  |
| **PART II. CRITICAL FACTOS (30%)** |  |
| **1. Personal and****Professional Characteristics** |  | 20% |  |
| **2. Punctuality** |  | 5% |  |
| **Attendance** |  | 5% |  |
| **Total Weighted Score Part II:** |  |
| **FINAL NUMERICAL PERFORMANCE RATING****(Part I + Part II)** |  |
| **EQUIVALENT ADJECTIVAL RATING** |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **RATING GUIDE** |
| 4.51 |  | 5.00 |  | Outstanding (**O**) |
| 3.51 |  | 4.50 |  | Very Satisfactory (**VS**) |
| 2.51 |  | 3.50 |  | Satisfactory (**S**) |
| 1.51 |  | 2.50 |  | Unsatisfactory (**US**) |
| 1.50 |  | below |  | Poor (**P**) |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 5 | Not more than 3 times tardy / undertime during the last 6 months. |
|  |  |
| 4 | 4-6 times tardy / undertime. |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
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